Nos. 669, 830-835, 891, 892

In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1970

SOUTHERN LOUISIANA AREA RATE CASES

MUNICIPAL DISTRIBUTOR GROUP, ET AL., PETITIONERS

v.

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION, ET AL.

ON PETITIONS FOR WRITS OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM FOR THE FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

ERWIN N. GRISWOLD,
Solicitor General,
Department of Justice,
Washington, D.C. 20530.

GORDON GOOCH,
General Counsel,
Federal Power Commission,
Washington, D.C. 20426.

In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1970

Nos. 669; 680–685, 691, 692

SOUTHERN LOUISIANA AREA RATE CASES

MUNICIPAL DISTRIBUTOR GROUP, ET AL., PETITIONERS

v.

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION, ET AL.

ON PETITIONS FOR WRITS OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM FOR THE FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

We file this supplemental memorandum to point out the following events ~~which have~~ occurred since the filing of the Commission's Brief in Opposition to the petitions for writs of certiorari in these cases:

1. On November 6, 1970, the United Distribution Companies [1] filed a proposed resolution on the merits

[1] The United Distribution Companies group consists of 32 distribution utilities serving more than ten million customers in twelve midwestern and eastern states. This group represents over 25 percent of the gas distribution operations in the United States.

409-284—70

of *Southern Louisiana I* and *II* with the Commission. The proposed resolution was developed at settlement conferences ordered by the presiding examiner in *Southern Louisiana II* (Tr. 1081) and open to all parties to the proceedings. The Commission has given notice of the proposal to all parties to *Southern Louisiana I* and *II* and has directed that any response to the proposal be filed with the Commission by December 11, 1970. The Commission has not yet considered the settlement proposal on the merits and cannot do so until all parties have had an opportunity to be heard.

To date, a substantial number of the parties in the instant cases, as well as the Commission's staff in its role as representative of the public interest, have filed answers in support of the proposed settlement. These supporters include most members of the Associated Gas Distributors,[2] all interstate pipelines purchasing interstate gas in the Southern Louisiana area and producers accounting for more than 70 percent of the interstate sales from that area. Only the New York Public Service Commission, the Municipal Distributor Group,[3] a few members of the Associated Gas Distributors and several producers have not indicated a willingness to support the proposal. They will, following any filing of objections with the Commission, have

[2] The Associated Gas Distributors group consists of gas distribution utilities along the eastern seaboard and its members supply over 11 percent of the gas distributed in the United States.

[3] The Municipal Distributor Group represents a group of distribution utilities supplying less than 2 percent of the gas distributed in the United States.

an opportunity to present evidence in opposition to the proposed resolution on the merits.

2. The Department of the Interior has announced, as anticipated (Commission's Brief in Opposition, p. 18, n. 6), that an oil and gas lease sale for Offshore Louisiana will take place on December 15, 1970 (35 Fed. Reg. 16417).

In view of these developments and the fact that several of the petitions filed in these cases have now been conditionally withdrawn,[4] we respectfully submit that the remaining petitions for writs of certiorari should be expeditiously denied; since some of the issues raised here also underlie the proposed settlement,[5] any deferral of this Court's consideration would impede the further proceedings before the Commission.

ERWIN N. GRISWOLD,
Solicitor General.

GORDON GOOCH,
General Counsel,
Federal Power Commission.

NOVEMBER 1970.

---

[4] We are informed that, as of the date of this filing, only the Municipal Distributor Group (No. 669), the Continental Oil Company and the Mobil Oil Company (petitioners in No. 680), Bradco Properties, Inc. et al. (petitioners in No. 683), the City of Norwich (one of the petitioners in No. 691) and the New York Public Service Commission (No. 692) still desire that their respective petitions for writs of certiorari be granted.

[5] In this regard, it should be noted that the question referred to in the Reply of the New York Public Service Commission in No. 692, pp. 2–7, of where the responsibility lies for the natural gas shortage which the Commission's staff has found to exist is at issue in *Southern Louisiana II*. All parties have had an opportunity to present evidence on that issue during the proceedings before the Commission in that case.

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 1970